

Community Meeting Survey Summaries

Montgomery County Survey Results

Total Years in NRV:

>5 – 14 (21%)
 5-10 – 6 (9%)
 11-15 – 4 (7%)
 15-20 – 9 (13%)
 20+ - 32 (49%)
 n/a – 1 (1%)

Location:

Blacksburg – 21
 (32%)
 Christiansburg – 10 (16%)
 Montgomery Co. – 21 (32%)
 Other – 11 (16%)
 n/a – 3 (4%)

Resources:

• Farmlands

- 0 – 1 (1%)
- 1 – 2 (3%)
- 2 – 2 (3%)
- 3 – 7 (11%)
- 4 – 16 (26%)
- 5 – 35 (56%)

• Historic/cultural preservation

- 0 – 2 (3%)
- 1 – 0 (0%)
- 2 – 1 (2%)
- 3 – 9 (14%)
- 4 – 22 (34%)
- 5 – 30 (47%)

• Wildlife/Hunting

- 0 – 2 (1%)
- 1 – 4 (3%)
- 2 – 5 (8%)
- 3 – 8 (18%)
- 4 – 14 (22%)
- 5 – 31 (48%)

• Eco-tourism

- 0 – 1 (2%)
- 1 – 2 (3%)
- 2 – 5 (8%)
- 3 – 16 (25%)
- 4 – 13 (20%)
- 5 – 27 (42%)

• Water quality

- 0 – 0 (0%)
- 1 – 1 (1%)
- 2 – 0 (0%)
- 3 – 1 (1%)
- 4 – 4 (7%)
- 5 – 58 (91%)

• Public access to water

- 0 – 1 (2%)
- 1 – 2 (3%)
- 2 – 2 (3%)
- 3 – 13 (20%)
- 4 – 16 (25%)
- 5 – 30 (47%)

• Sustainable Forests

- 0 – 0 (0%)
- 1 – 1 (2%)
- 2 – 2 (3%)
- 3 – 9 (14%)
- 4 – 12 (19%)
- 5 – 40 (62%)

• Scenic and natural views

- 0 – 0 (0%)
- 1 – 1 (2%)
- 2 – 0 (0%)
- 3 – 8 (12%)
- 4 – 12 (19%)
- 5 – 43 (67%)

• Trails/recreation areas

- 0 – 0 (0%)
- 1 – 1 (1%)
- 2 – 1 (1%)
- 3 – 3 (6%)
- 4 – 14 (22%)
- 5 – 45 (70%)

Benefits:

- **Protect vegetative buffers** – 46 (70%)
- **Develop neighborhoods using cluster development techniques** – 30 (45%)
- **Tourism and economic development** – 34 (52%)
- **Hazard mitigation** – 26 (39%)
- **Other** – 5 (7%)
- **Develop a regional trail system** – 49 (74%)
- **Provide access to natural areas** – 42 (63%)
- **Protect areas of sustainable forests** – 36 (55%)
- **Cost-effective growth planning** – 36 (55%)
- **Improve stormwater management & flood protection techniques** – 44 (67%)
- **Protect groundwater and recharge areas** – 31 (47%)

How did you hear about the NRV-PDC GI effort?

- **Newspaper** – 1 (2%)
- **Radio** – 1 (2%)
- **listserv** – 12 (19%)
- **Government** – 28 (44%)
- **Friend** – 8 (12%)
- **Professional Association** – 9 (14%)
- **Other** – 12 (19%)
- **N/A** – 6 (9%)

Floyd County Survey Results

Total Years in NRV:

- 5 – 5 (14%)
- 5-10 – 7 (19%)
- 11-15 – 5 (14%)
- 15-20 – 2 (6%)
- 20+ - 15 (42%)
- n/a – 1 (3%)

Location:

- Carroll – 1 (3%)
- Montgomery – 1 (3%)
- Floyd – 30 (83%)
- Blacksburg – 1 (3%)

Resources:

- **Farms/agribusinesses**
 - 0
 - 1
 - 2
 - 3 – 1 (3%)
 - 4 – 10 (28%)
 - 5 – 23 (64%)
- **Historic/cultural preservation**
 - 0
 - 1
 - 2
 - 3 – 4 (11%)
 - 4 – 12 (33%)
 - 5 – 17 (47%)
- **Wildlife**
 - 0
 - 1
 - 2
 - 3 – 5 (14%)
 - 4 – 10 (28%)
 - 5 – 19 (53%)
- **Water quality (streams/rivers)**
 - 0
 - 1
 - 2
 - 3
 - 4 – 5 (14%)
 - 5 – 29 (81%)
- **Outdoor/ecotourism**
 - 0
 - 1
 - 2
 - 3 – 6 (17%)
 - 4 – 14 (39%)
 - 5 – 13 (36%)
- **Trails/recreation areas**
 - 0
 - 1
 - 2
 - 3 – 3 (8%)
 - 4 – 13 (36%)
 - 5 – 17 (47%)
- **Water availability**
 - 0
 - 1
 - 2 – 1 (3%)
 - 3 – 1 (3%)
 - 4 – 5 (14%)
 - 5 – 26 (72%)
- **Public access to rivers/streams**
 - 0
 - 1
 - 2 – 2 (6%)
 - 3 – 8 (22%)
 - 4 – 10 (28%)
 - 5 – 13 (36%)
- **Scenic and natural views**
 - 0
 - 1
 - 2 – 1 (3%)
 - 3 – 2 (6%)
 - 4 – 10 (28%)
 - 5 – 20 (56%)
- **Outdoor recreation (e.g., hunting/fishing)**
 - 0
 - 1
 - 2
 - 3 – 13 (36%)
 - 4 – 11 (31%)
 - 5 – 9 (25%)
- **Areas of sustainable forestry**
 - 0
 - 1
 - 2
 - 3 – 3 (8%)
 - 4 – 12 (33%)
 - 5 – 18 (50%)

Benefits:

- **Protect streams/rivers with natural buffers** – 30 (83%)
- **Develop neighborhoods using cluster development techniques** – 18 (50%)
- **Encourage sustainable economic development** – 23 (64%)
- **Improve water conservation** – 25 (69%)
- **Viewshed protection** – 15 (42%)
- **Develop a regional trail system** – 26 (72%)
- **Protect farmland/ag-heritage sites** – 27 (75%)
- **Provide access to natural areas** – 21 (58%)
- **Protect areas of sustainable forests** – 29 (81%)
- **Cost-effective growth planning** – 20 (56%)
- **Improve stormwater management & flood protection techniques** – 17 (47%)
- **Protect groundwater and recharge areas** – 30 (83%)

How did you hear about the NRV-PDC GI effort?

- **Newspaper** – 10 (28%)
- **Radio**
- **Friend** – 18 (50%)
- **Professional Association** – 4 (11%)
- **Government** – 6 (17%)

City of Radford Survey Results

Total Years in NRV:

5 – 5 (18%)
5-10 – 5 (18%)
11-15 – 3 (11%)
15-20 – 4 (14%)
20+ - 11 (39%)
n/a

Location:

Fairlawn – 1 (4%)
Pulaski – 1 (4%)
Christiansburg – 2 (7%)
Blacksburg – 1 (4%)
Radford – 21 (75%)

Resources:

- **Farms/agribusinesses**
 - 0
 - 1 – 2 (7%)
 - 2 – 3 (11%)
 - 3 – 3 (11%)
 - 4 – 7 (25%)
 - 5 – 13 (46%)
- **Historic/cultural preservation**
 - 0
 - 1 – 1 (4%)
 - 2 – 2 (7%)
 - 3 – 4 (14%)
 - 4 – 9 (32%)
 - 5 – 12 (43%)
- **Wildlife**
 - 0
 - 1 – 1 (4%)
 - 2
 - 3 – 5 (18%)
 - 4 – 6 (21%)
 - 5 – 15 (54%)
- **Water Supply (Household)**
 - 0
 - 1 – 1 (4%)
 - 2
 - 3 – 2 (7%)
 - 4 – 4 (14%)
 - 5 – 21 (75%)
- **Outdoor/recreational tourism**
 - Ø **Hiking/biking**
 - 0
 - 1 – 1 (4%)
 - 2
 - 3 – 3 (11%)
 - 4 – 6 (21%)
 - 5 – 18 (64%)
 - Ø **Hunting**
 - 0 – 1 (4%)
 - 1 – 4 (14%)
 - 2 – 3 (11%)
 - 3 – 10 (36%)
 - 4 – 5 (18%)
 - 5 – 5 (18%)
 - Ø **Fishing**
 - 0 – 1 (4%)
 - 1 – 1 (4%)
 - 2
 - 3 – 7 (25%)
 - 4 – 4 (14%)
 - 5 – 15 (54%)
 - Ø **Wildlife watching**
 - 0 – 1 (4%)
 - 1 – 1 (4%)
 - 2
 - 3 – 5 (18%)
 - 4 – 6 (21%)
 - 5 – 15 (54%)
- **Public access to rivers/streams**
 - 0
 - 1 – 1 (4%)
 - 2
 - 3 – 3 (11%)
 - 4 – 9 (32%)
 - 5 – 15 (54%)
- **Scenic and natural views**
 - 0
 - 1 – 1 (4%)
 - 2
 - 3 – 2 (7%)
 - 4 – 8 (29%)
 - 5 – 17 (61%)
- **Trails/recreation areas**
 - 0
 - 1
 - 2
 - 3 – 3 (11%)
 - 4 – 7 (25%)
 - 5 – 16 (57%)
- **Water quality (streams/rivers)**
 - 0
 - 1 – 1 (4%)
 - 2
 - 3 – 1 (4%)
 - 4 – 1 (4%)
 - 5 -25 (89%)

- **Water Supply (Recreational)**
 - 0 – 1 (4%)
 - 1 – 2 (7%)
 - 2
 - 3 – 4 (14%)
 - 4 – 7 (25%)
 - 5 – 14 (50%)
- **Water Supply (Fishery health)**
 - 0 – 1 (4%)
 - 1 – 1 (4%)
 - 2
 - 3 – 4 (14%)
 - 4 – 6 (21%)
 - 5 – 16 (57%)
- Ø **Canoeing/rafting**
 - 0
 - 1 – 1 (4%)
 - 2 – 1 (4%)
 - 3 – 2 (7%)
 - 4 – 4 (14%)
 - 5 – 20(71%)
- **Urban Forestry/Conserv. Landscaping**
 - 0
 - 1
 - 2 – 2 (7%)
 - 3 – 6 (21%)
 - 4 – 4 (14%)
 - 5 – 15 (54%)

Benefits:

- **Protect streams/rivers with natural buffers – 26 (93%)**
- **Develop neighborhoods using cluster development techniques – 14 (50%)**
- **Encourage sustainable economic development – 21(75%)**
- **Improve water conservation – 16 (57%)**
- **Develop a regional trail system – 25 (89%)**
- **Viewshed protection – 17 (619%)**
- **Protect farmland/ag-heritage sites – 10 (36%)**
- **Provide access to natural areas – 16 (57%)**
- **Protect areas of sustainable forests – 19 (68%)**
- **Cost-effective growth planning – 14 (50%)**
- **Improve stormwater management/flood protection techniques – 15 (54%)**
- **Protect groundwater and recharge areas 15 (54%)**

How did you hear about the NRV-PDC GI effort?

- **Newspaper – 4 (14%)**
- **Radio**
- **Friend – 4 (14%)**
- **Professional Association – 1 (4%)**
- **Government – 9 (32%)**
- **Employer – 1 (4%)**
- **Poster/Sign – 2 (7%)**
- **Email – 4 (14%)**
- **listserv – 3 (11%)**
- **Main Street Radford – 1 (4%)**
- **Walk-In – 1 (4%)**

Giles County Survey Results

Total Years in NRV:

5 –
 5-10 – 5 (22%)
 11-15 – 2 (9%)
 15-20 – 3 (13%)
 20+ - 11 (48%)
 n/a – 1 (4%)

Location:

Montgomery – 1 (4%)
 Pearisburg – 2 (9%)
 Wythe - 1 (4%)
 Christiansburg – 2 (9%)
 Narrow – 1 (4%)
 Craig – 1 (4%)

Fairlawn – 1 (4%)
 Newport – 1 (4%)
 Pembroke – 3 (13%)
 Richmond – 1 (4%)
 Giles – 6 (26%)
 Eggleston – 1 (4%)

Resources:

- **Farms/agribusinesses**

- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3 – 1 (4%)
- 4 – 6 (26%)
- 5 – 16 (70%)

- **Historic/cultural preservation**

- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3 – 2 (9%)
- 4 – 9 (39%)
- 5 – 11 (48%)

- **Wildlife**

- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3 – 1 (4%)
- 4 – 5 (22%)
- 5 – 17 (74%)

- **Water quality (streams/rivers)**

- 0
- 1 –
- 2
- 3 –
- 4 –
- 5 – 23 (100%)

- **Outdoor/recreational tourism**

- **Ø Hiking/biking**

- 0
- 1 – 1 (4%)
- 2
- 3 – 2 (9%)
- 4 – 8 (35%)
- 5 – 12 (52%)

- **Ø Hunting**

- 0
- 1 – 1 (4%)
- 2 – 1 (4%)
- 3 – 2 (9%)
- 4 – 7 (30%)
- 5 – 11 (48%)

- **Ø Fishing**

- 0
- 1
- 2 – 1 (4%)
- 3 – 2 (9%)
- 4 – 7 (30%)
- 5 – 12 (52%)

- **Ø Wildlife watching**

- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4 – 8 (35%)
- 5 – 14 (61%)

- **Public access to rivers/streams**

- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3 – 5 (22%)
- 4 – 5 (22%)
- 5 – 13 (57%)

- **Scenic and natural views**

- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3 – 1 (4%)
- 4 – 7 (30%)
- 5 – 15 (65%)

- **Water Supply (Household)**

- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4 – 2 (9%)
- 5 – 21 (91%)

- **Water Supply (Fishery health)**

- 0
- 1
- 2 – 1 (4%)
- 3
- 4 – 6 (26%)
- 5 – 16 (70%)

- **Areas of Sustainable Forests**
 - **0**
 - **1**
 - **2**
 - **3**
 - **4 – 9 (39%)**
 - **5 – 14 (61%)**
- **Trails/recreation areas**
 - **0**
 - **1**
 - **2**
 - **3 – 1 (4%)**
 - **4 – 9 (39%)**
 - **5 – 12 (52%)**
- ∅ **Canoeing/rafting**
 - **0**
 - **1 – 1 (4%)**
 - **2**
 - **3 – 1 (4%)**
 - **4 – 8 (35%)**
 - **5 – 12 (52%)**
- **Water Supply (Recreational)**
 - **0**
 - **1**
 - **2**
 - **3 – 1 (4%)**
 - **4 – 8 (35%)**
 - **5 – 14 (61%)**

Benefits:

- **Protect streams/rivers with natural buffers – 22 (96%)**
- **Develop neighborhoods using cluster development techniques – 15 (65%)**
- **Encourage sustainable economic development – 17 (74%)**
- **Improve water conservation – 18 (78%)**
- **Urban forestry/conservation landscaping – 9 (39%)**
- **Viewshed protection – 20 (87%)**
- **Develop a regional trail system – 11 (48%)**
- **Provide access to natural areas – 14 (61%)**
- **Protect areas of sustainable forests – 17 (74%)**
- **Cost-effective growth management – 7 (30%)**
- **Improve stormwater management/flood protection techniques – 15 (65%)**
- **Protect groundwater and recharge areas – 19 (83%)**
- **Wildlife management – 16 (70%)**
- **Other: Control population of nuisance wildlife – 1 (4%)**
- **Protect farmland/ag-heritage sites – 19 (83%)**

How did you hear about the NRV-PDC GI effort?

- **Newspaper – 1 (4%)**
- **Radio**
- **Friend – 8 (35%)**
- **Professional Association – 8 (35%)**
- **Government – 10 (43%)**
- **listserv – 1 (4%)**

Pulaski County Survey Results

Total Years in NRV:

5 – 4 (22%)
 5-10 – 2 (11%)
 11-15 – 1 (6%)
 15-20 – 1 (6%)
 20+ - 10 (56%)
 n/a

Location:

Pulaski – 9 (50%)
 Montgomery – 1 (6%)
 Salem – 1 (6%)
 Dublin – 2 (11%)

Lexington – 1 (6%)
 Blacksburg – 1 (6%)
 Lexington – 1 (6%)
 Radford – 1 (6%)
 Hiawasee – 1 (6%)

Resources:

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Farms/agribusinesses <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 0 ○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 – 2 (11%) ○ 4 – 2 (11%) ○ 5 – 14 (78%) • Historic/cultural preservation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 0 ○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 – 2 (11%) ○ 4 – 2 (11%) ○ 5 – 14 (78%) • Wildlife <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 0 ○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 – 3 (17%) ○ 5 – 15 (83%) • Water Supply (Household) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 0 ○ 1 ○ 2 – 1 (6%) ○ 3 – 1 (6%) ○ 4 ○ 5 – 16 (89%) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outdoor/recreational tourism <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ø Hiking/biking <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 0 ○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 – 1 (6%) ○ 4 – 1 (6%) ○ 5 – 16 (89%) Ø Hunting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 0 ○ 1 ○ 2 – 1 (6%) ○ 3 – 1 (6%) ○ 4 – 2 (11%) ○ 5 – 14 (78%) Ø Fishing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 0 ○ 1 ○ 2 – 1 (6%) ○ 3 ○ 4 – 3 (17%) ○ 5 – 14 (78%) Ø Wildlife <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 0 ○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 – 1 (6%) ○ 4 – 2 (11%) ○ 5 – 15 (83%) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water quality (streams/rivers) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 0 ○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5 – 18 (100%) • Public access to rivers/streams <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 0 ○ 1 ○ 2 – 1 (6%) ○ 3 – 2 (11%) ○ 4 – 2 (11%) ○ 5 – 13 (72%) • Scenic and natural views <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 0 ○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 – 1 (6%) ○ 4 – 2 (11%) ○ 5 – 15 (83%) • Water Supply (Recreational) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 0 ○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 – 4 (22%) ○ 5 – 14 (78%) |
|--|--|---|

- **Trails/recreation areas**
 - **0**
 - **1**
 - **2**
 - **3**
 - **4 – 5 (28%)**
 - **5 – 12 (67%)**
- **Areas of Sustainable Forests**
 - **0**
 - **1**
 - **2**
 - **3**
 - **4 – 2 (11%)**
 - **5 – 15 (83%)**
- **Canoeing/rafting**
 - **0**
 - **1**
 - **2**
 - **3 – 1 (6%)**
 - **4 – 3 (17%)**
 - **5 – 14 (78%)**
- **Water Supply (Fishery health)**
 - **0**
 - **1**
 - **2**
 - **3 – 1 (6%)**
 - **4**
 - **5 – 16 (89%)**
- **Other: Riparian Vegetation – 1 (6%)**

Benefits:

- **Protect streams/rivers with natural buffers – 14 (78%)**
- **Develop neighborhoods using cluster development techniques – 10 (56%)**
- **Encourage sustainable economic development – 11 (61%)**
- **Improve water conservation – 14 (78%)**
- **Urban forestry/conservation landscaping – 11 (61%)**
- **Develop a regional trail system – 11 (61%)**
- **Protect farmland/ag-heritage sites – 12 (67%)**
- **Provide access to natural areas – 14 (78%)**
- **Protect areas of sustainable forests – 13 (72%)**
- **Cost-effective growth management – 6 (33%)**
- **Improve stormwater management/flood protection techniques – 8 (44%)**
- **Protect groundwater and recharge areas – 13 (72%)**
- **Wildlife management – 15 (83%)**
- **Viewshed protection – 12 (67%)**

How did you hear about the NRV-PDC GI effort?

- **Newspaper**
- **Radio – 1 (6%)**
- **Friend – 10 (6%)**
- **Professional Association – 5 (28%)**
- **Government – 9 (50%)**
- **listserv – 1 (6%)**
- **Other – 1 (6%)**